

© Copyright by PTEiDD 2023 redakcja@pediatricendocrinology.pl www.pediatricendocrinology.pl www.pteidd.pl

Type 1 diabetes mellitus prevention

Prewencja cukrzycy typu 1

^{1,2}Lidia Groele, ^{1,2}Agnieszka Szypowska

¹Department of Paediatrics, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland ²Department of Paediatric Diabetology and Paediatrics, University Clinical Centre of Warsaw Medical University, Poland

Key words: diabetes mellitus, prevention, β cell. **Słowa kluczowe:** cukrzyca, prewencja, komórki β.

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is a chronic autoimmune disease leading to immune-mediated destruction of pancreatic β cells, resulting in the need for insulin therapy. A genetic susceptibility to the development of islet autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes is well documented, and an environmental influence and impaired function of the immune system is assumed.

Genetic background

Polymorphisms of multiple genes of the human DNA can increase the risk of the disease. Approximately 50% of the genetic risk is associated with the HLA-DR and HLA-DQ HLA haplotypes, located in the major region of the tissue compatibility complex – MHC, which are responsible for antigen presentation to CD4 T lymphocytes by β cells, dendritic cells, and macrophages. HLA risk genes are responsible for the abnormal presentation of pancreatic islet antigens and the subsequent survival and expansion of β -cell reactive T cells, leading to pancreatic β -cell destruction. Most non-HLA genes are related to immune function and regulation (protein tyrosine phosphatase, PTPN22, IL2RA, CTLA4, Ins) [1].

Gene polymorphisms involved in sphingolipid metabolism and Vitamin D metabolism that contribute to the genetic predisposition to T1DM were also identified [2, 3].

Environmental factors

Environmental factors that increase the risk of developing T1DM include:

• Viral infections (Coxsackie B virus, rubella viruses, enteroviruses, rotaviruses, CMV, retroviruses) through a mimicry mechanism [4].

- Vitamin deficiency, mainly D and C through immunomodulatory effect [5, 6].
- Factors that can affect the intestinal immune system, immune system, including diet (cow's milk, gluten, toxins and chemical compounds, sweetened beverages) and hygiene. Some studies showed that early (< 4 months) and late (≥ 6 months) introduction of solid foods increased risk for development of isled antibodies in children at increased genetic risk, whereas breastfeeding had a protective effect [7, 8].
- Changes in the gut microbiota. The intestinal flora is involved in the maturation of the innate and acquired immune system in early human life and in the formation of the intestinal barrier. The diversity of species depends on the type of birth, breastfeeding, and diet in early life. The use of antibiotics or improper nutrition lead to a disruption of the normal composition of the intestinal bacterium, the so-called dysbacteriosis, which, due to a decrease in the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and loss of connections between enterocytes, increases intestinal permeability. Some bacteria show sequence similarities in the GAD of human gut bacteria and the GAD65 of the β -cell. Changes in the gut microbiome result in the release of bacterial GAD and the miseducation of antigen-presenting cells (APCs), which then recognise GAD65 pancreatic β cells [9–11].

Immune system

In genetically predisposed individuals, under the influence of environmental factors, β -cell autoantigens are recognised, subsequently presented by APCs (antigen-presenting cells). This leads to the activation of macrophages and T cells, which secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines that increase T cell

 $(T_{\text{helper}} = CD4+$ and $T_{\text{cytotoxic}} = CD8+)$ and B cell activation. In addition, regulatory T cells have impaired number and function to suppress the activity and proliferation of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. Eventually, effector cells (CD8+ lymph, NK cells, macrophages) and pro-inflammatory cytokines [mainly interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β), tumour necrosis factor α (TNF- α), and interferon γ (IFN- γ)] destroy the β -cell [12].

Stages of type 1 diabetes mellitus

The development of T1DM progresses sequentially at a variable but predictable rate through identifiable stages:

- stage 1 is defined as the presence of β-cell autoimmunity, as shown by the presence of 2 or more anti-islet autoantibodies with normoglycaemia and no clinical symptoms,
- stage 2 is defined as the presence of β-cell autoimmunity with dysglycaemia and without symptoms,
- stage 3 is defined as the onset of symptomatic disease.

The adoption of the stage classification provides a standardised taxonomy of T1DM and helps in the development of therapies and the design of clinical trials to prevent the disease.

Antibodies produced by B cells are useful to determine the diagnosis and stage of CT1 development, as well as in prevention trials [13].

It has been estimated that children with multiple β cell autoantibodies have a 70% risk of developing type 1 diabetes in 10 years and a lifetime risk approaching 100%.

The main pancreatic islet autoantibodies produced by B lymphocytes are insulin autoantibodies (IAA), insulinoma-associated antigen-2 (IA-2), glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD), Zn transporter 8 (ZnT8), and pancreatic islet cell antibodies (ICA). These autoantibodies can appear at any age, but the peak of the first pancreatic islet autoantibody usually occurs before the age of 3 years [14].

Most autoantibodies associated with T1DM recognise peptide antigens, but antibodies against lipid antigens (p/gangliosides and sulfatides) have also been described [15, 16].

Prevention of type 1 diabetes mellitus

Prevention of T1DM is divided into the following (Fig. 1): Primary prevention, which applies to people at risk of developing T1DM and includes actions aimed at preventing the onset of autoimmunity by addressing risk factors. Secondary prevention_– the aim is to inhibit or slow down autoimmune reactions and delay symptomatic CT1 or prolong the period of remission. Secondary prevention is divided into early pre-clinical, which is undertaken when autoimmunity has already started but the number of β -cells is sufficient to maintain glucose homeostasis, and late secondary prevention in people who have developed impaired glucose tolerance, or in the early period after the onset of type 1 diabetes.

Tertiary prevention, which aims to prevent complications of the disease.

Models of preventive therapies are directed at the following:

- polygenic nature of T1DM,
- environmental factors,
- immunological mechanisms:
 - antigen-nonspecific,
 - antigen-specific,
 - modulating inflammation,
- maintenance of β-cell mass.

Environmental Interventions

Initial results from DAISY showed an association between enterovirus infection and acceleration in disease onset in highrisk individuals, which suggests an enterovirus vaccine serving as a potential primary or secondary prevention therapy for T1DM [17].

Human studies of the gut microbiome in T1DM have shown that early probiotic supplementation (at the age of 0–27 days) was associated with a decreased risk of islet autoimmunity among children at increased genetic risk of T1DM [18].

The GPPAD-SINT1A Study assessed whether early *Bifido-bacterium infantis* supplementation reduces the risk of islet autoimmunity in children at the highest genetic risk of T1DM [19].

In secondary prevention, the use of probiotics/synbiotics was associated with reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1, IL-8, IL-17, MIP-1 β , RANTES, and TNF- α), oxidative stress (hs-CRP), and an increase in total antioxidant capacity and the anti-inflammatory cytokine TGF- β 1. Meta-analyses evaluating the effect of probiotics showed HbA1c and fasting blood glucose reduction [20–22].



Figure 1. Prevention of T1DM

Immunotherapy

Historical immunotherapy (such as cyclosporine, islet transplantation with chronic immunosuppression) highlighted the fact that altering the immune system needs to be done in a balanced way that preserves safety with efficacy [23, 24].

Designing successful immune interventions for autoimmune diseases like T1DM relies on understanding the interactions between genes, the environment, and immune regulation.

Targeting of immunotherapy towards the islet-specific immune pathways has led to the development of therapies that may allow for the prevention or reversal of this disease while avoiding toxicities.

Antigen nonspecific therapy

T lymphocytes are the final element leading to the destruction of β -cells. CD3, CD4, and CD8 antigens are presented on T lymphocytes, so therapies targeting these antigens can modulate the T-cell response and therefore modulate the auto-immune response.

Targeted CD3 blockade therapies by teplizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody to CD3 on T cells, is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration to delay the onset of clinical type 1 diabetes (stage 3) in patients 8 years of age or older with preclinical (stage 2) disease. In children and adolescents with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes, it showed benefit with preservation of β -cell function [25, 26].

Low-dose ATG is a purified rabbit sera containing cytotoxic IgG antibodies directed against human T cells, which was able to slow the rate of β -cell function and reduce HbA1c [27].

B cells also have a pathogenic role in T1D as antigen-presenting cells and modulators of the pancreatic microenvironment. Immunotherapy with the anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody rituximab against B lymphocytes slightly delays the decline of C-peptide [28].

Immunotherapies with rituximab, abatacept, teplizumab, alefacept, high-dose anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG), low-dose ATG, and low-dose ATG \pm granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor have demonstrated endogenous insulin preservation in recent-onset T1DM. Low-dose ATG and teplizumab show the greatest impact on C-peptide preservation among recent new-onset T1DM studies [29].

Antigen-specific therapy

Antigen-specific intervention is a promising approach that may avoid the side effects seen with systemic immunomodulat-

References

1. Warshauer JT, Bluestone JA, Anderson MS. New Frontiers in the Treatment of Type 1 Diabetes. Cell Metab 2020; 31: 46–61. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.017. ing therapies. According to preclinical models, single antigenspecific therapies are capable of suppressing the polyclonal immune response. The exposure to peptides of T1DM specific auto-antigens (e.g. β cell auto-antigens) can induce an expansion of the Tregs and the deletion and/or anergy of pathogenic T cells, thereby restoring immune tolerance.

Immunization with insulin, proinsulin, GAD65, GAD-alum, and a mixture of peptides from islet autoantigens (Multi-PepT1De) has not resulted in preserved insulin secretion so far, but some of the clinical trials are still ongoing [30].

The autologous *ex vivo*-expanded polyclonal Tregs were well tolerated and safe but unable to preserve C-peptide [31].

Cellular approaches (i.e. Tregs) may be the most attractive approach to restore immune tolerance because they bypass unknown autoantigens and to who should be introduced antigen in a true tolerogenic form.

Targeting inflammation

Whether therapies targeting the generalized inflammatory response with blockade of IL-1 using anakinra and canakinumab, the pro-inflammatory cytokine tumour necrosis factor (TNF) using etanercept, golimumab, anti-IL-6 (tocilizumab), or anti-IL-21 by liraglutide can restore immune tolerance in T1D [32, 33] remains to be seen.

Therapies focused on maintaining β cell mass

Recent evidence has demonstrated that, among other factors, reduced amounts of sulfatide may be relevant in the development of T1DM. It stimulates calcium ion-dependent insulin exocytosis, promotes proinsulin folding, mediates monomerization of insulin, induces glucose-dependent stimulation of insulin, and prevents apoptosis in insulin secreting cells. Fenofibrate, which activates sulfatide biosynthesis, is evaluated in the PRIFEN Study to ascertain if it can be a target for improving outcomes in subjects with T1DM [34–36].

Combining immunotherapies target different mechanisms of T1DM development represents a way to optimally suppress pathogenic pathways and stimulate regulatory pathways that may result in immune tolerance restoration.

The effectiveness of immune therapies also depends on the selection of the patient group.

Mechanisms leading to T1DM differ in different groups; usually the autoimmune process is more aggressive in children.

Biomarkers reflecting disease activity and patient status can predict who will respond to a particular immunotherapy.

- Holm LJ, Krogvold L, Hasselby JP, et al. Abnormal islet sphingolipid metabolism in type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia 2018; 61: 1650–1661. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4614-2.
- Frederiksen BN, Kroehl M, Fingerlin TE, et al. Association between vitamin D metabolism gene polymorphisms and risk of islet auto-

immunity and progression to type 1 diabetes: the diabetes autoimmunity study in the young (DAISY). J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013; 98: E1845–E1851. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-2256.

- Honkanen H, Oikarinen S, Nurminen N, et al. Detection of enteroviruses in stools precedes islet autoimmunity by several months: possible evidence for slowly operating mechanisms in virus-induced autoimmunity. Diabetologia 2017; 60: 424–431. doi: 10.1007/ s00125-016-4177-z.
- Infante M, Ricordi C, Sanchez J, et al. Influence of Vitamin D on Islet Autoimmunity and Beta-Cell Function in Type 1 Diabetes. Nutrients 2019; 11: 2185. doi: 10.3390/nu11092185.
- Mattila M, Erlund I, Lee HS, et al. Plasma ascorbic acid and the risk of islet autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes: the TEDDY study. Diabetologia 2020; 63: 278–286. doi: 10.1007/s00125-019-05028-z.
- Hummel S, Ziegler AG. Early determinants of type 1 diabetes: experience from the BABYDIAB and BABYDIET studies. Am J Clin Nutr 2011; 94 (6 Suppl): 1821S–1823S. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.000646.
- Frederiksen B, Kroehl M, Lamb MM, et al. Infant exposures and development of type 1 diabetes mellitus: The Diabetes Autoimmunity Study in the Young (DAISY). JAMA Pediatr. 2013; 167: 808–815. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.317.
- Siljander H, Honkanen J, Knip M. Microbiome and type 1 diabetes. EBioMedicine 2019; 2019: 512–521. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.06.031.
- Bedi S, Richardson TM, Jia B, et al. Similarities between bacterial GAD and human GAD65: Implications in gut mediated autoimmune type 1 diabetes. PLoS One. 2022; 17: e0261103. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0261103.
- Vatanen T, Franzosa EA, Schwager R, et al. The human gut microbiome in early-onset type 1 diabetes from the TEDDY study. Nature 2018; 562: 589–594. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0620-2.
- Infante M, Ricordi C, Sanchez J, et al. Influence of Vitamin D on Islet Autoimmunity and Beta-Cell Function in Type 1 Diabetes. Nutrients 2019; 11: 2185. doi: 10.3390/nu11092185.
- Primavera M, Giannini C, Chiarelli F. Prediction and Prevention of Type 1 Diabetes. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2020; 11: 248. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00248.
- Ziegler AG, Rewers M, Simell O, et al. Seroconversion to multiple islet autoantibodies and risk of progression to diabetes in children. JAMA 2013; 309: 2473–2479. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.6285.
- Bleich D, Polak M, Chen S, et al. Sera from children with type 1 diabetes mellitus react against a new group of antigens composed of lysophospholipids. Horm Res 1999; 52: 86–94. doi: 10.1159/000023440. PMID: 10681638.
- Fox TE, Bewley MC, Unrath KA, et al. Circulating sphingolipid biomarkers in models of type 1 diabetes. J Lipid Res 2011; 52: 509–517. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M010595.
- Stene LC, Oikarinen S, Hyöty H, et al. Enterovirus infection and progression from islet autoimmunity to type 1 diabetes: the Diabetes and Autoimmunity Study in the Young (DAISY). Diabetes 2010; 59: 3174–3180. doi: 10.2337/db10-0866.
- Uusitalo U, Liu X, Yang J, et al. Association of Early Exposure of Probiotics and Islet Autoimmunity in the TEDDY Study. JAMA Pediatr 2016; 170: 20–28. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.2757.
- 19. Ziegler AG, Arnolds S, Kölln A, et al. Supplementation with *Bifidobacterium longum* subspecies *infantis* EVC001 for mitigation of type 1

diabetes autoimmunity: the GPPAD-SINT1A randomised controlled trial protocol. BMJ Open 2021; 11: e052449. doi: 10.1136/bmjo-pen-2021-052449.

- Groele L, Szajewska H, Szalecki M, et al. Lack of effect of *Lactoba-cillus rhamnosus* GG and *Bifidobacterium lactis* Bb12 on beta-cell function in children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2021; 9: e001523. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2020-001523.
- Bock PM, Telo GH, Ramalho R, et al. The effect of probiotics, prebiotics or synbiotics on metabolic outcomes in individuals with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetologia 2021; 64: 26–41. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05295-1.
- Moravejolahkami AR, Shakibaei M, Fairley AM, Sharma M. Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics in type 1 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2023; 2023: e3655. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.3655.
- Feutren G, Papoz L, Assan R, et al. Cyclosporin increases the rate and length of remissions in insulin-dependent diabetes of recent onset. Results of a multicentre double-blind trial. Lancet 1986; 2: 119–124. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(86)91943-4.
- Malmegrim KC, de Azevedo JT, Arruda LC, et al. Immunological Balance Is Associated with Clinical Outcome after Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Type 1 Diabetes. Front Immunol 2017; 8: 167. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00167.
- Herold KC, Bundy BN, Long SA, et al. An Anti-CD3 Antibody, Teplizumab, in Relatives at Risk for Type 1 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2019; 381: 603–613. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1902226. Epub 2019 Jun 9. Erratum in: N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 586.
- 26. Ramos EL, Dayan CM, Chatenoud L, et al. Teplizumab and β -Cell Function in Newly Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2023; 389: 2151–2161. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2308743.
- 27. Haller MJ, Schatz DA, Skyler JS, et al. Low-Dose Anti-Thymocyte Globulin (ATG) Preserves β -Cell Function and Improves HbA_{1c} in New-Onset Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2018; 41: 1917–1925. doi: 10.2337/dc18-0494.
- Pescovitz MD, Greenbaum CJ, Bundy B, et al. B-lymphocyte depletion with rituximab and β-cell function: two-year results. Diabetes Care 2014; 37: 453–459. doi: 10.2337/dc13-0626.
- Jacobsen LM, Bundy BN, Greco MN, et al. Comparing Beta Cell Preservation Across Clinical Trials in Recent-Onset Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2020; 22: 948–953. doi: 10.1089/dia.2020.0305.
- 30. Ziegler AG, Achenbach P, Berner R, et al. Oral insulin therapy for primary prevention of type 1 diabetes in infants with high genetic risk: the GPPAD-POInT (global platform for the prevention of autoimmune diabetes primary oral insulin trial) study protocol. BMJ Open 2019; 9: e028578. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028578.
- Marek-Trzonkowska N, Myśliwiec M, Iwaszkiewicz-Grześ D, et al. Factors affecting long-term efficacy of T regulatory cell-based therapy in type 1 diabetes. J Transl Med 2016; 14: 332. doi: 10.1186/ s12967-016-1090-7.
- Moran A, Bundy B, Becker DJ, et al. Interleukin-1 antagonism in type 1 diabetes of recent onset: two multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. Lancet 2013; 381:1905–1915. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60023-9.
- Mastrandrea L, Yu J, Behrens T, et al. Etanercept treatment in children with new-onset type 1 diabetes: pilot randomized, placebo-

controlled, double-blind study. Diabetes Care 2009; 32: 1244–1249. doi: 10.2337/dc09-0054.

- Holm LJ, Krogvold L, Hasselby JP, et al. Abnormal islet sphingolipid metabolism in type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia 2018; 61: 1650–1661. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4614-2.
- 35. Buschard K, Holm LJ, Feldt-Rasmussen U. Insulin Independence in Newly Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes Patient following Fenofibrate

Treatment. Case Rep Med 2020; 2020: 6865190. doi: 10.1155/2020/6865190.

 Groele L, Dzygalo K, Kowalska A, Szypowska A. Prolonged Remission Induced by FENofibrate in children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes (PRIFEN): protocol of a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open-2023-076882.R2.